



CITY OF NEHALEM

35900 8TH STREET • P.O. BOX 143

NEHALEM, OREGON 97131

PH (503) 368-5627

FX (503) 368-4175

NEHALEM CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING

MONDAY, October 21, 2019 - 6:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER:

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

ROLL CALL:

GUESTS:

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: [September 9, 2019 Regular Council Meeting](#)

OLD BUSINESS:

1. [Police Report](#)
2. Planning Commission Public Hearing in December to Consider Prohibiting Short-Term Rentals in Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs) within the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB)
3. Draft Comprehensive Plan Update

NEW BUSINESS:

1. Sheriff James Horton, introduce himself to the Council
2. Julie Chick, request for a public trail on city property down to the Sammy's Place Property
3. Julie Chick, request that the city share the cost of a Geotechnical Survey on the Property in question

CORRESPONDENCE/OTHER BUSINESS

None as of this date

STAFF REPORTS

PAYMENT OF BILLS

COUNCIL COMMENTS

PUBLIC COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT - Next Regular Council Meeting: November 18, 2019

The meeting location is accessible to persons with disabilities. A request for an interpreter for the hearing impaired or for other accommodations for persons with disabilities should be made at least 48 hours prior to the meeting. Please contact City Hall at 503-368-5627 to make a request for an interpreter or other accommodations for persons with disabilities.

**NEHALEM CITY COUNCIL
COUNCIL MINUTES
REGULAR MEETING
September 9, 2019**

Council President Welsh called the Regular Council Meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Jim Welsh, Council President
Jeff Pfeifer, Councilor
Stacy Jacobsen, Councilor
Hilary Howell, Councilor

EXCUSED: Bill L. Dillard Jr., Mayor

STAFF PRESENT: Dale Shafer, City Manager
Melissa Thompson-Kiefer, Asst. City Mgr/Recorder
Don Davidson, Public Works Director
John Morgan, City Planner

VISITORS: Janet Maher
Barbara McLaughlin
Vivi Tallman
Stanton & Lori Symank
Lane deMoll
Debbie Moberly
Doug Firstbrook
Mary Lynn Morgan
Sarah Absher, Tillamook County Community
Development Director

Council President Welsh led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

MINUTES

The Council reviewed the minutes of the August 12, 2019 Regular Council Meeting. Councilor Howell **MOVED** to approve the minutes of the August 12, 2019 Regular Council Meeting as presented. Councilor Jacobsen **SECONDED** the motion. **MOTION PASSED 3-0 (Yes: Pfeifer, Howell, and Jacobsen; No: None).**

PUBLIC HEARING

At 6:03 p.m., Council President Welsh opened the Public Hearings on the following:

PUBLIC HEARING: An appeal by Mr. and Mrs. Robert Drake of a decision by the Nehalem Planning Commission to grant setback variances on property owned by Stanton Symank, located at 35795 8th (Front) Street in Nehalem.

PUBLIC HEARING: ORDINANCE 2019-02: An Ordinance Amending City of Nehalem Zoning Ordinance 80-02 to Include Certain Changes Required by SB1051, Adopting Rules and Regulations to Allow Accessory Dwelling Units Outside the Nehalem City Limits, but Inside the Urban Growth Boundary.

OLD BUSINESS

POLICE REPORT

The Council reviewed the Police Report for August 2019.

PUBLIC HEARING: APPEAL OF PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION - VACANT HOUSE AT 8TH AND TOHL (SYMANK PROPERTY)

STAFF REPORT

City Planner John Morgan explained that the Planning Commission approved a variance request by Stanton and Lori Symank to allow a variance to reduce the required front yard and side yard setbacks. The variance was appealed by an adjacent property owner and the Council will hear the appeal. Mr. Morgan presented the staff report. He explained that the Planning Commission found the applicant met the criteria for granting a variance and the Commissioners approved the variance unanimously. Mr. Morgan further explained that the appellant offered three issues as reasons that the variance should be denied. The staff report concluded that the issues were not relevant to the criteria for granting a variance and could not be used to deny the variance. Mr. Morgan provided comments in the staff report as to how the issues might be addressed by the appellant. Mr. Morgan explained that the timelines the Planning Commission established for demolition and for start of construction were now irrelevant because of the appeal. He recommended that the Council deny the appeal, uphold the Planning Commission decision and findings of fact. Mr. Morgan suggested adjusting the conditions of approval to require that the demolition of the existing structure be completed by April 30, 2020 and the construction of the new structure be completed by September 30, 2020. (A copy of the staff report is attached to and made a part of these minutes as Attachment A.)

There was discussion regarding the issues raised by the appellant. There was discussion regarding non-conforming use. Mr. Morgan explained that the non-conforming use could be continued, including remodeling the house, under the provisions of the development code. Mr. Morgan read a portion of the development code that refers to destruction of a non-conforming

structure and explained that if destruction exceeds 80% of the fair-market value and is not returned to use within one year, the non-conforming use is lost.

APPELLANT TESTIMONY

The appellant was not present.

APPLICANT TESTIMONY

Mr. Symank said he was concerned about the time limits in the conditions and said it had become a burden. He said he would like to sell the property, but explained if he kept it, he would have to rebuild it where it sits because it is easier. He expressed concerns about the time limit for destruction due to the weather and slope of the property. There was extensive discussion regarding the timelines and neglect of the property. Mr. Morgan asked Mr. Symank to request specific dates for the timeline. Mr. Symank requested the end of May for the demolition deadline and October 31, 2020 for completion of the new structure. The Symanks said they were opposed to the appeal.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY IN SUPPORT OF THE APPEAL

None.

PUBLIC TESTIMONY OPPOSED TO THE APPEAL

None.

At 6:49 p.m., Council President Welsh closed the Public Hearing on the Appeal.

Councilor Howell **MOVED** to deny the appeal and uphold the Planning Commission decision and findings of fact on Case 19-03, incorporating the staff report into the record and findings, with the following adjustments to the Planning Commission's conditions of approval:

1. The demolition of the existing structure will be completed by May 31, 2020.
2. Construction of the new structure shall be completed by October 31, 2020.
3. Failure to meet the timeframes in Conditions 1 and 2 will void this variance approval.

Councilor Jacobsen **SECONDED** the motion. **MOTION PASSED 3-0 (Yes: Pfeifer, Howell, and Jacobsen; No: None).**

Mrs. Symank asked if they could use the variance or remodel. Mr. Morgan confirmed they could remodel the existing structure. City Manager Shafer added as long as they did not exceed the 80% threshold. Mr. Morgan said staff would prepare an Order and the applicant would receive a copy.

PUBLIC HEARING: Ordinance 2019-02: An Ordinance Amending City of Nehalem Zoning Ordinance 80-02 to Include Certain Changes Required by SB1051, Adopting Rules and Regulations to Allow Accessory Dwelling Units Outside the Nehalem City Limits, but Inside the Nehalem Urban Growth Boundary.

Mr. Morgan explained that the city's development code applies to the Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) even though the city doesn't administer the land outside the city limits, but inside the

UGB. He said the code needed to be amended to comply with Senate Bill 1051 (SB1051) to allow accessory dwelling units (ADUs) on land outside city limits, but inside the UGB. He said the Planning Commission had reviewed the Ordinance and recommended it to the Council for approval. Mr. Morgan reviewed the Ordinance and requirements for ADUs. Mr. Morgan noted that the legislature recently passed HB2001 that prohibits the owner-occupied requirement. He recommended adopting the ordinance as presented and amending it later if necessary.

There was public comment by Lane deMoll (UGB resident) requesting that ADUs be prohibited as short-term rentals.

City Manager Shafer said that the short-term rental issue would be addressed in a separate ordinance. Mr. Morgan said that the City Council would have to address two policy issues that are outside of the development code, the municipal code regarding short-term rentals and System Development Charges (SDCs). Council President Welsh added that this ordinance was opening the door for ADUs, but there were other issues outside of that.

Sarah Absher, Director of Tillamook County Community Development Department, said that the County does not regulate or put prohibitions on dwelling units to be rented, so if the County maintained management of the short-term rental program for the UGB, the County short-term rental ordinance would not be the mechanism to apply a prohibition. She said the city would have to prohibit short-term rentals in the land use ordinance or some other city ordinance. She clarified for Council President Welsh that there was no prohibition on the city enacting an ordinance on short-term rentals in the UGB. She noted that the County has proposed an ordinance prohibiting short-term rentals for properties with ADUs within unincorporated areas of Tillamook County.

There was additional public comment by Doug Firstbrook (UGB resident) requesting that the city incorporate prohibiting the use of ADUs as short-term rentals in Ordinance 2019-02.

There being no further public comments, Council President Welsh closed the Public Hearing on **Ordinance 2019-02** at 7:04 p.m.

There being no further comments or discussion, Councilor Howell **MOVED** to perform the second reading of **Ordinance 2019-02** by Title only. Councilor Jacobsen **SECONDED** the motion. **MOTION PASSED 3-0 (Yes: Pfeifer, Howell, and Jacobsen; No: None)**. City Manager Shafer read the Ordinance by title only.

Councilor Howell **MOVED** to approve the second reading of **Ordinance 2019-02**. Councilor Jacobsen **SECONDED** the motion. **MOTION PASSED 3-0 (Yes: Pfeifer, Howell, and Jacobsen; No: None)**.

Councilor Jacobsen **MOVED** to adopt **Ordinance 2019-02**. Councilor Pfeifer **SECONDED** the motion. **MOTION PASSED 3-0 (Yes: Pfeifer, Howell, and Jacobsen; No: None)**. (A copy of Ordinance 2019-02 is attached to and made a part of these minutes as Attachment B.)

Council President Welsh clarified for the public that Ordinance 2019-02 was passed without language prohibiting short-term rentals. There was public comment by Debbie Moberly (UGB resident) expressing concern that Ordinance 2019-02 was passed with nothing to prohibit short-term rentals. Council President Welsh and City Manager Shafer explained that the Council intended to address short-term rentals but wanted to ensure it is done correctly with information from the City Planner and City Attorney. It will be addressed in a separate ordinance.

DRAFT COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

City Manager Shafer said the Council received in their packets the draft of the Comprehensive Plan and asked them to review it. She said DLCDC was reviewing the plan. There will be a public hearing with the Planning Commission on October 17, 2019 and a second public hearing with the Council before it is adopted.

NEW BUSINESS

EMERGENCY VOLUNTEER CORP TABLETOP EXERCISE ON OCTOBER 17, 2019

City Manager Shafer asked that the Council attend the emergency incident tabletop exercise on October 17, 2019 if at all possible.

NEW CITY WEBSITE

Assistant City Manager/Recorder Thompson-Kiefer shared the initial design for an update to the city website. She said that the new site would make it easier for citizens to find information and for staff to maintain the site. She explained that the new website host was working on migrating content and she expected the new site to go live in late November 2019.

MURAL PROPOSAL FOR CITY PARK

Reeva Wortel and Janet Maher presented a proposal to paint a mural on the bathroom wall at the City Park. They proposed an "I-Spy" design that would incorporate native plants and animals for children and families to find. There would be opportunity for community involvement. They said they intended to apply for a grant and do fundraising within the community.

Council President Welsh asked Public Works Director Davidson if there were any issues with moss on the wall. Public Works Director Davidson said there weren't issues with moss and he supported the mural idea. Councilor Howell inquired about upkeep. Ms. Wortel recommended that the mural be varnished annually.

Councilor Pfeifer **MOVED** to approve supporting the proposal for a mural painting on the bathroom wall at the City Park. Councilor Jacobsen **SECONDED** the motion. **MOTION PASSED 3-0 (Yes: Pfeifer, Howell, and Jacobsen; No: None)**. City Manager Shafer said she would write the letter of support.

COUNCIL MEETING DATES

City Manager Shafer proposed moving the October Council meeting date to October 21, 2019 due the training, and moving the November Council meeting date to November 18th, 2019 due to the Veterans Day holiday. The Council agreed.

CORRESPONDENCE/OTHER BUSINESS

None.

STAFF REPORTS

CITY MANAGER REPORT

City Manager Shafer reported on meetings she will attend. She said that the city did not receive the Transportation and Growth Management Program grant but did receive a separate grant from ODOT to do a regional transportation plan as well as update individual transportation plans for Nehalem, Wheeler and Manzanita. The grant money will go to a contractor who will create the plans. Ken Shonkwiler from ODOT will attend the November Council meeting to do a presentation on the project. City Manager Shafer said she hoped to see all Councilors at the tabletop exercise on October 17, 2019.

PUBLIC WORKS REPORT

Public Works Director Davidson reported that our average daily water usage for August 2019 was 157,000 gallons, turbidity averaged .06 NTU's and both Bacteriological samples were negative.

Public Works Director Davidson raised the issue of the parking situation at Wanda's Café. City Manager Shafer said she spoke to the City Attorney regarding the issue and they will be meeting to discuss it. Public Works Director Davidson said he wanted to be sure the Council was aware that they were told after a three month trial that the diagonal parking on 9th Street would not work and they would have to do two parallel spaces, but they striped it with diagonal parking anyway. City Manager Shafer said the owner did not think he had a fair trial and told her to take it to court. There was further discussion regarding the safety hazard with the parking spaces in the small lot next to the Post Office. Citizens have come to City Hall to complain. City Manager Shafer said the attorney will address the entire issue.

BILLS

Councilor Howell **MOVED** to approve payment of the bills. Councilor Jacobsen **SECONDED** the motion. **MOTION PASSED 3-0 (Yes: Welsh, Howell, and Jacobsen; No: None).**

COUNCIL COMMENTS

None.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Vivi Tallman asked what the timeline was for the short-term rental ordinance. City Manager Shafer said she would talk to the attorney and try to have it for the next Council meeting.

ADJOURNMENT

Council President Welsh said the next Council Meeting will be October 21, 2019.

There being no further business, Council President Welsh adjourned the meeting at 7:34 p.m.

APPROVED: _____

Bill L. Dillard Jr., Mayor

ATTEST: _____

Melissa K. Thompson-Kiefer, Assistant City Manager/Recorder

CITY OF NEHALEM CITY COUNCIL
STAFF REPORT

APPEAL OF PLANNING COMMISSION DECISION - VARIANCE CASE 19-03

APPEALANT: Mr. and Mrs. Robert Drake
 APPLICANT: Stanton and Lori Symank
 OWNER: Stanton and Lori Symank
 LOCATION: 35795 8th Street; Nehalem, Oregon
 ZONING: RM – Medium Density Residential
 LAND USE: House

INTRODUCTION

This is an appeal of the Planning Commission’s approval of the variance request by Stanton and Lori Symank to allow a variance to reduce the required side yard adjacent to a street setback from 15 feet to 6 feet, and a variance to reduce the required front yard setback from 20 feet to approximately 10 feet.

The Council’s responsibility is to review the existing record and expand that record with a new public hearing; consider the applicant’s and the appellant’s arguments in light of the applicable criteria for approving a variance request found in Nehalem Zoning Ordinance Section 17.020; and make a final decision.

The criteria for granting a variance are:

1. *No variance shall be granted by the Planning Commission unless it can be shown that ALL of the following conditions exist:*
 - a. *Exceptional or extraordinary circumstances apply to the property and result from lot size and shape, topography or other circumstances over which the owners of the property have no control.*
 - b. *The variance is necessary for the preservation of a property right of applicant substantially the same as owners of other property in the same zone or vicinity possess.*

- c. *The variance would not be materially detrimental to the purposes of the Ordinance, the Comprehensive Plan, or to property in the same zone or vicinity in which the property is located or otherwise conflict with the objectives of any City policy.*
- d. *The variance request is the minimum variance which would alleviate the hardship.*

COUNCIL OPTIONS

There are three options for the Council's decision:

1. Find the applicant's proposal meets the required criteria and uphold the Planning Commission's Decision.
2. Find the applicant's proposal does not meet the required criteria, as argued by the appellant, and reverse the Planning Commission's decision. In this case new findings of fact showing how the criteria are not met must be prepared.
3. Find the applicant's proposal partially meets the required criteria and uphold the Planning Commission's decision, making additional findings of fact and conditions of approval.

THE RECORD

Attached to this report are the following elements of the record:

- Planning Commission Order
- Planning Commission Staff Report (This report gives all the background and analysis of the case).
- Drake letter of appeal
- Drake letter submitted to the Planning Commission
- Symank application

ANALYSIS

The Planning Commission found the applicant met the criteria for granting a variance. The Commissioners approved the variance unanimously.

The appellant offers three reasons the variance should be denied. In summary these are:

1. The house needs to have a lead and asbestos analysis prior to demolition.
2. A geohazard study is needed before construction.
3. The location of a water line serving the appellant's house runs through the applicant's property and might be disturbed during construction.

Staff finds these issues are not relevant to the criteria for granting a variance and cannot be used to deny the variance.

However, these comments on each of the appellant's points are offered as information:

1. Point One is a building codes issue administered by the Tillamook County Building Division at time of consideration of a demolition permit, not the City. If the appellant has concerns, they should be directed to the Building Division.
2. Point Two is a building codes issue administered by the Tillamook County Building Division at time of consideration of a demolition permit or building permit, not the City. If the appellant has concerns, they should be directed to the Building Division.
3. Point Three is likely a civil matter between the two property owners. The City may have some involvement. Staff sees three options:
 - a. If there is an easement from Symank granting Drake a right to have a waterline cross the Symank property, it is a civil matter between the two property owners to determine how any construction on the Symank property affects the waterline without impacting the rights granted in the easement.
 - b. If there is a public easement for the waterline, the City will determine how construction impacts the waterline.
 - c. If there is no easement, Symank and Drake will have to work out an agreement on how the waterline is managed during construction, and how it is recognized permanently.

Staff recommends the Planning Commission Order be upheld, but with an important adjustment. The Commission established specific timelines for demolition and for start of construction. The appeal has rendered these timelines moot. If the Council decides to uphold the appeal, the timelines need to be adjusted.

The Commission set fairly short timelines as it respected the fact it was in the middle of summer and the construction season still had two months before significant rain could be

expected. Given the two-month delay to get to a Council decision, the building season needs to be taken into consideration. Therefore, the new timelines are recommended below.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended the Council, by motion, deny the appeal and uphold the Planning Commission decision and findings of fact on Case 19-03, incorporating this staff report into the record and findings, with the following adjustments to the Planning Commission's conditions of approval.

1. The demolition of the existing structure will be completed by April 30, 2020.
2. Construction of the new structure shall be completed by September 30, 2020.
3. Failure to meet the timeframes in Conditions 1 and 2 will void this variance approval.



CITY OF NEHALEM

35900 8TH STREET · P.O. BOX 143

NEHALEM, OR 97131

PH. (503) 368-5627

FX. (503) 368-4175

ORDINANCE 2019-02

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING CITY OF NEHALEM ZONING ORDINANCE 80-02 TO INCLUDE CERTAIN CHANGES REQUIRED BY SB1051, ADOPTING RULES AND REGULATIONS TO ALLOW ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS OUTSIDE THE NEHALEM CITY LIMITS, BUT INSIDE THE NEHALEM URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY.

WHEREAS the Oregon State Legislature has passed Senate Bill 1051 which requires that cities in Oregon Counties with a population of over 15,000 are required to allow Accessory Dwelling Units inside their Urban Growth Boundary; and

WHEREAS Senate Bill 1051 exempts cities with a population of less than 2500 from having to allow Accessory Dwelling Units inside their city limits; and

WHEREAS Senate Bill 1051 allows cities to pass rules and regulations regarding the siting of Accessory Dwelling Units within their city limits and their Urban Growth Boundaries;

NOW, THEREFORE, the City Council of the City of Nehalem does ordain a follows:

ARTICLE II Marine Residential – MR Zone Section 2.020: Amend to add Accessory Dwelling Units on land inside the Urban Growth Boundary but outside the City Limits developed in accordance with Section 14.160 as permitted outright and shown in green on Exhibit A.

ARTICLE III Low Density Residential – RL Zone Section 3.020: Amend to add Accessory Dwelling Units on land inside the Urban Growth Boundary but outside the City Limits developed in accordance with Section 14.160 as permitted outright and shown in green on Exhibit A.

ARTICLE IV Medium Density Residential – RM Zone Section 4.020: Amend to add Accessory Dwelling Units on land inside the Urban Growth Boundary but outside the City Limits developed in accordance with Section 14.160 as permitted outright and shown in green on Exhibit A.

ARTICLE V Medium Density Residential – R1 Zone Section 5.020: Amend to add Accessory Dwelling Units on land inside the Urban Growth Boundary but outside the City Limits developed in accordance with Section 14.160 as permitted outright and shown in green on Exhibit A.

ARTICLE VI Medium Density Residential – R2 Zone Section 6.020: Amend to add Accessory Dwelling Units on land inside the Urban Growth Boundary but outside the City Limits developed in accordance with Section 14.160 as permitted outright and shown in green on Exhibit A.

ARTICLE VII Medium Density Residential – R3 Zone Section 7.020: Amend to add Accessory Dwelling Units on land inside the Urban growth Boundary but outside the City Limits developed in accordance with Section 14.160 as permitted outright and shown in green on Exhibit A.

ARTICLE VIII Residential Trailer – RT Zone Section 8.020: Amend to add Accessory Dwelling Units on land inside the Urban Growth Boundary but outside the City Limits developed in accordance with Section 14.160 as permitted outright and shown in green on Exhibit A.

ARTICLE XII Planned Development Section 12.030 Potential Uses: Amend as follows and shown in green on Exhibit A.

1. The following uses are allowed in a planned development if the Planning Commission considers them appropriate for the particular development being proposed and if other applicable standards are satisfied.
 - f. Accessory Dwelling Units on land inside the Urban Growth Boundary but outside the City Limits wherever a Planned Development incorporates single-family homes. Accessory Dwelling Units are allowed at a ratio not to exceed one Accessory Dwelling Unit per single-family home. Accessory Dwelling Units will be developed in accordance with Section 14.160

ARTICLE XIII Low Density Residential, Agricultural, Forestry and Recreation – A1 Zone Section 13.020: Amend as follows and shown in green on Exhibit A:

1. The following uses and their accessory uses and activities are permitted outright:
 - w. Accessory Dwelling Units on land inside the Urban Growth Boundary but outside the City Limits developed in accordance with Section 14.160

SECTION 14.160 ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS: Amend as follows and shown in green on Exhibit A:

Where permitted, an accessory dwelling unit may be sited on a lot with an existing or under-construction single-family home, shall conform to all building code requirements, and shall meet the following use and development standards.

1. Location. The accessory dwelling unit, if free standing, shall be located within the side or rear yard and physically separated from the primary residence by a minimum distance of 6 feet. A covered walkway, which contains no habitable space, may connect the two buildings without violation of the setback requirements.
2. Number. Only one accessory dwelling unit shall be permitted per lot or parcel.
3. Design. All accessory dwelling units shall be set on a continuous concrete foundation; have any wheels, tongues and running gear removed; and be connected to domestic sewer and water. A separate address may be required for the residence.
4. Area. The floor area of a detached accessory dwelling unit or an addition to an existing residence to add an accessory dwelling unit shall not exceed 100% of the floor area of the primary residence or 800 square feet, whichever is less. An accessory dwelling unit created by a remodel of an existing residence may not occupy more than one floor of the residence regardless of size.


5. Setbacks. For a Detached Accessory Dwelling Unit, the minimum rear yard setback shall be 10 feet; the minimum side yard setback shall be 5 feet.
6. Height. The maximum height of a freestanding accessory dwelling unit shall be 25 feet but in no case shall the height exceed the height of the primary residence. Accessory dwelling units built within or as additions to the primary dwelling unit, or over detached garages, shall not exceed the maximum height of the zone.
7. Owner Occupied. Either the primary dwelling unit or the accessory dwelling unit must be owner occupied.
8. Lot Coverage. The impervious surface associated with the accessory dwelling unit, including the unit and driveways, parking, walkways, and patios, is counted toward the maximum lot coverage for the lot.
9. Parking. A minimum of 1 off-street parking space shall be provided for an accessory dwelling unit. The additional space need not be paved but shall remain unobstructed and available for parking.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Nehalem City Council this 9 day of September 2019 and APPROVED by the Mayor this 16 day of September, 2019

APPROVED: 

ATTEST:


Bill L. Dillard Jr., Mayor


Dale Shafer, City Manager

First Reading: 8-12-19

Second Reading: 9-4-19

Adoption: 9-9-19

Ayes: 4

Nays: 0

Abstentions: 0

MANZANITA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
September 2019 REPORT

Manz	18	Neh	18	Whe	18
Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date
	1				
	1				
1	2	1			
		2			
				1	
	1	5			


Manz	18	Neh	18	Whe	18
Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date
	1				
	1				
1	4	2			
	5	4			
1	8	23		1	
		2	2		
		3			
	13	14	3		1
1	2				
		1			
	2				
1	2	3			

Manz	18	Neh	18	Whe	18
Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date
16	225	235	2	5	3
1	27	37	1	3	2
		3			
1	14	12			1
	1	7	2		2
	3	3	1		
2	20	33			
8	30	33	1	6	6
	1	4	1	1	2

Manz	18	Neh	18	Whe	18
Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date
2	16	15		1	
10	114	168	10	79	122
3	34	69	2	13	34
	7	3			1
8	54	29	1	4	
8	48	52		5	5
	1	1			1
		2			

Manz	18	Neh	18	Whe	18
Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date
	1				
1	2	1		1	1
	7	7	1	1	
1	12	11		3	2
		1	1	2	3
2	25	38		1	
15	95	412		1	1
1	32	22	1	7	18
	13	14	1	3	1
1	20	43	1	6	3
3	180	18	1	2	2
	11	16			2
13	155	225	8	27	31
	30	167		15	4

OREGON STATE PARKS REPORT						
	Manz	18	Nehalem	18	Oswald	18
	Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date	Mon Year	to date
No Camp Permit Disp						
Camping Proh. Area	2	2				
No Day Use Permit	7	107	93			
Viol. Posted Parking	1	2	3		6	69
Handicap Parking			1			2
Traffic Violation		2	1		1	1
General Reg Viol.		2	8			4
Search & Rescue			2			2
Ranger Assist	2	5	3		1	2
Vandalism						
Litter						
Minor in Possession						
Furnishing Alcohol						
Security Checks	58	533	510		41	375
Crimes			1			2
Other	5	43	68		4	54
Arrest						
Law Assist	1	10	21	3	18	12


 Erik Harth, Police Chief 10/01/2019
 Date